

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,351	03/22/2004	Jae-Ryong Park	1572.1207	9009
21171	7590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER	
	HALSEY LLP		ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TON, DC 20005		1761	
		DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 /		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>_</u>		
		10/805,351	PARK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Property is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 3, 6/04, 2, 10/05.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/805,351

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 5, 8, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 4 and 19, there is no antecedent basis for the recited "display part".

In claims 5 and 8, there is no antecedent basis for the recited "predetermined time".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedenberg '840 in view of Morino et al.

There is disclosed in Hedenberg a bread maker comprising a main body 200 having an oven compartment 212 with a front opening, a door 204 to open and close the front opening, a pair of kneading drums 230, 232 inside the compartment, a mixing bag 100, a drum driver (not shown) to rotate the drums, a heater (col. 2, lines 46,47) inside the oven compartment, and a heater driver (not shown) to operate the heater, the

Art Unit: 1761

bread maker comprising: a controller (col. 4, lines 25-60) controlling the rotation of the drums and operation of the heater.

Morino discloses, in a bread maker, a door sensor and controller 147, 148a for controlling the kneading operation and heating operation of the bread maker as a result of the sensor sensing if the door is open or closed. Additionally, Morino discloses a display means 198 to warn the user that the door is open.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the bread maker of Hedenberg with the door sensor and control taught in Morino, in order to prevent operation of the device if the door is open.

In regards to the kneading drum rotation direction and calculation of a predetermined elapsed time, such is an operational step of the device and is a result of programming of the controller. There is no structural limitations being defined.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Kim et al. is cited for its disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,351

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1761

rla 22 May 2006